

IV. CONCLUSION

Primosphere has demonstrated conclusively that no pioneer's preference should be awarded in this proceeding. The public interest would not be served by such an award and no applicant has fulfilled the Commission's requirements for a preference. The Commission should proceed to adopt license and service rules for satellite DARS and to prompt licensing of the applicants.

Respectfully submitted,

PRIMOSPHERE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

By Howard M. Liberman

Howard M. Liberman
Robert J. Ungar
ARTER & HADDEN
1801 K Street, N.W.
Suite 400K
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 775-7100

and


Leslie Taylor
Leslie Taylor Associates
6800 Carlynn Court
Bethesda, MD 20817-4301
(301) 229-9341

Its Attorneys


October 2, 1996

62270

**Suburban Mean Time Between Outage (Minutes)
2 Satellites (80W,110W) vs. 1 Satellite (95W)**



Urban-outer Mean Time Between Outage (Minutes)
2 Satellites (80W,110W) vs. 1 Satellite (95W)



Technical Certificate

I hereby certify that I am the technically qualified person responsible for the preparation of the technical information contained in the Primosphere Limited Partnership Submission to Review Panel, to which this Technical Certificate is attached; that I am familiar with Part 25 of the FCC's rules; that I have prepared or reviewed the technical material in the filing; and that it is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

By: Kenneth F. Manning
Kenneth F. Manning

Date: October 2, 1996